

# Kinetics of the chiral phase transition

Hendrik van Hees, Christian Wesp, Alex Meistrenko, Carsten Greiner

Goethe-Universität Frankfurt

March 18, 2016



**FIAS** Frankfurt Institute  
for Advanced Studies



- 1 Linear  $\sigma$  model
- 2 Semiclassical particle-field dynamics
  - Thermal quench (box calculation)
  - Expanding hot-matter droplet
- 3 Conclusions and outlook

- exploring the **QCD phase diagram** in heavy-ion collisions
- identify observables for different phase transitions  
(**cross-over** at low vs. **1st order** at high  $\mu_B$ )
- **critical endpoint**?!?
- **problem**: rapidly expanding and cooling “fireballs”  $\Rightarrow$  observables?
- “grand canonical fluctuations” of conserved “charges”?!?
- model fluctuations from **dynamics** rather than imposed by hand  
(**Langevin/Fokker-Planck**)
- here: novel **kinetic model** based on **particle-field dualism**
- Phys. Rev. E **91**, 043302 (2015) (arXiv: 1411.7979 [hep-ph])  
J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **636**, 012007 (2015) (arXiv: 1505.04738 [hep-ph])  
C. Wesp, PhD Thesis, Goethe University Frankfurt (2015)

# Quark-meson linear $\sigma$ model

- quark-meson linear  $\sigma$  model
- chiral  $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2) \sim SO(4)$  symmetry
- spontaneously broken to  $SU_V(2) \sim SO(3)$
- mesons  $SO(4)$ :  $\sigma$  (scalar)  $\vec{\pi}$  (pseudoscalar)
- constituent quarks:  $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}[i\not{\partial} - g(\sigma + i\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau}\gamma_5)]\psi - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\sigma\partial^\mu\sigma + \partial_\mu\vec{\pi} \cdot \partial^\mu\vec{\pi}) - U(\sigma, \vec{\pi})$$

- meson potential

$$U(\sigma, \vec{\pi}) = \frac{\lambda^2}{4}(\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 - v^2)^2 + f_\pi m_\pi^2 \sigma.$$

- explicit breaking of chiral symmetry



- treat  $\sigma$  bosons on the mean-field level +  $\sigma \leftrightarrow \bar{q}q$

$$\square\sigma + \lambda(\sigma^2 - v^2)\sigma - f_\pi m_\pi^2 + g\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle = "I(\sigma \leftrightarrow \bar{q}q)"$$

- (anti-)quarks via Boltzmann equation

$$\left[ \partial_t + \frac{p}{E_q} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}} - \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{x}} E_\psi(t, \vec{x}, \vec{p}) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{p}} \right] f_q(t, \vec{x}, \vec{p}) = C(\bar{q}q \rightarrow \bar{q}q, \sigma \leftrightarrow \bar{q}q)$$

$$\text{with } E(t, \vec{x}, \vec{p}) = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + g^2\sigma^2(t, \vec{x})}$$


- **test-particle ansatz for (anti-)quarks** on a spatial grid
- **"particle-field dualism"** of  $\sigma$  **field**  $\leftrightarrow$  **particle** for "collision terms"

# Semiclassical particle-field dynamics

- $\sigma \rightarrow \bar{q} + q$ 
  - calculate energy and momentum of  $\sigma$  **field** in cell
  - determine local temperature and chemical potential
  - Boltzmann distribution  $\Rightarrow$   $\sigma$ -**particle** momentum distribution
  - use  $\sigma$ -**decay width/rate** (**matrix element**) from QFT in collision terms
- $\bar{q} + q \rightarrow \sigma$ 
  - “Monte-Carlo” event according to **matrix element** from  $\sigma$  model
  - add corresponding energy and momentum of  $\sigma$  **particle** as a corresponding **Gaussian wave packet** to  $\sigma$  **field**
- **energy-momentum and baryon-number conservation**
- principle of **detailed balance** fulfilled!


# Test: energy conservation (box calculation)

- uncorrelated thermal fluctuations  $\Delta E_q/E_q \sim 10^{-3}$  and  $\Delta E_\sigma/E \sim 10^{-2}$
- $\Delta E_{\text{tot}}/E_{\text{tot}} \lesssim 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$




# Test: quark-number fluctuations (box calculation)

- total number of (anti-)quarks ( $N_q = N_{\bar{q}}$ ) fluctuates due to  $\sigma \leftrightarrow \bar{q} + q$




## Test: Equilibration limit (box calculation)

- run algorithm in box until  $q-\bar{q}$  distribution and  $\sigma$  field stationary
- excellent agreement with relativistic Boltzmann distribution




# Test: Equilibration limit (box calculation)

- run algorithm in box until  $q-\bar{q}$  distribution and  $\sigma$  field stationary
- **Fourier spectrum** of  $\sigma$ -field energy
- **“UV catastrophe”** avoided due to finite width  $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$  of Gaussian wave packets  $\leftrightarrow$  energy-momentum transfer between particles and fields




# Thermal quench (no phase transition)

- start system with  $T_{\sigma} = 180$  MeV,  $T_{\bar{q}q} = 140$  MeV
- system always in chirally restored phase



# Thermal quench (no phase transition)


- start system with  $T_\sigma = 180$  MeV,  $T_{\bar{q}q} = 140$  MeV
- system always in chirally restored phase






# Thermal quench (no phase transition)

- start system with  $T_{\sigma} = 180$  MeV,  $T_{\bar{q}q} = 140$  MeV
- system always in chirally restored phase




# Thermal quench (no phase transition)

- start system with  $T_\sigma = 180$  MeV,  $T_{\bar{q}q} = 140$  MeV
- system always in chirally restored phase




# Thermal quench (no phase transition)

- start system with  $T_\sigma = 180$  MeV,  $T_{\bar{q}q} = 140$  MeV
- system always in chirally restored phase
- system comes to thermal equilibrium ( $\sigma \leftrightarrow \bar{q}q$  always “active”)




# Thermal quench ( $\chi$ restored $\rightarrow$ broken phase)

- start system with  $T_\sigma = 180$  MeV,  $T_{\bar{q}q} = 80$  MeV
- system undergoes transition from chirally restored to broken phase
- system does not come to thermal equilibrium  
( $\sigma \leftrightarrow \bar{q}q$  becomes impossible because  $m_\sigma < 2m_q$ )




# Thermal quench ( $\chi$ restored $\rightarrow$ broken phase)

- start system with  $T_\sigma = 180$  MeV,  $T_{\bar{q}q} = 80$  MeV
- system undergoes transition from chirally restored to broken phase
- ( $\sigma \leftrightarrow \bar{q}q$  becomes impossible because  $m_\sigma < 2m_q$ )  
after “decoupling” oscillations in  $\sigma$  field  $\Leftrightarrow E_{q\bar{q}}$




# Expanding hot-matter droplet (cross-over at $g = 3.3$ )

without “chemical processes”




with “chemical processes” ( $\sigma \leftrightarrow \bar{q} + q$ )




# Expanding hot-matter droplet (cross-over at $g = 5.5$ )

without “chemical processes”



with “chemical processes” ( $\sigma \leftrightarrow \bar{q} + q$ )



# Conclusions and outlook

- novel scheme to model **off-equilibrium kinetics** of phase transitions based on **particle-field duality**
- application to linear quark-meson  $\sigma$  model
- obeys **conservation laws** and **detailed balance**
- **dynamically generated fluctuations** (no assumptions as in Langevin!)
- passes box-calculation tests
- thermal quench + **expanding fireballs**
- qualitative difference between **cross-over and 1st-order scenario**
- to do: how quantifiable?
- possible **observables in heavy-ion collisions** (e.g., “grand-canonical fluctuations” of baryon number)?