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## Why Flavour Symmetry?

in SM(+Majorana neutrinos) there are a total of 28 Parameters


- most of them stem from interactions with the Higgs field, other interactions tightly constrained by symmetry principles
- in quark sector: small mixing angles and hierarchical masses can be explained by Frogatt-Nielsen symmetry
- in lepton sector: two large and one small mixing angle suggestive of non-abelian discrete symmetry


## Lepton mixing from discrete groups

residual symmetry of (me ${ }^{+} \mathrm{me}$ )

$$
G_{e}=Z_{3}
$$

$G_{f}$

$$
T m_{e} m_{e}^{\dagger} T^{\dagger}=m_{e} m_{e}^{\dagger}
$$

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Candidate Groups



## A4 Symmetry Group

$A_{4}$ is the smallest symmetry group that can lead to TBM mixing:

$$
A_{4} \cong\left(Z_{2} \times Z_{2}\right) \rtimes Z_{3} \cong\left\langle S, T \mid S^{2}=T^{3}=(S T)^{3}=1\right\rangle
$$

|  | S | T |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1 | $\omega$ |
| $\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1 | $\omega$ |
| $\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |


|  | 1 | $T$ | $T^{2}$ | $S$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1 | $\omega$ | $\omega^{2}$ | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1 | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega$ | 1 |
| $\underline{\mathbf{3}}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | -1 |

## $\underline{3} \times \underline{3}=\underline{1}_{1}+\underline{1}_{2}+\underline{1}_{3}+\underline{3}_{S}+\underline{3}_{A}$

${ }^{(a b)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+a_{3} b_{3}\right)$
${ }^{(a b)} \underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{2}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(a_{1} b_{1}+\omega^{2} a_{2} b_{2}+\omega a_{3} b_{3}\right) \quad(a b)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(a_{1} b_{1}+\omega a_{2} b_{2}+\omega^{2} a_{3} b_{3}\right)$
$(a b)_{A, \mathbf{3}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}a_{2} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{2} \\ a_{3} b_{1}-a_{1} b_{3} \\ a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\end{array}\right) \quad(a b)_{S, \mathbf{3}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}a_{2} b_{3}+a_{3} b_{2} \\ a_{3} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{3} \\ a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1}\end{array}\right)$

## An $A_{4}$ Prototype model

- $\left(A_{4}, Z_{4}\right)$ charge assignments: $1 \sim(3, i), e^{c} \sim\left(1_{1},-i\right), \mu^{c} \sim\left(1_{2},-i\right), \tau^{c} \sim\left(1_{3},-i\right), \chi \sim(3,1)$, $\phi \sim(3,-1), \xi \sim(1,-1)$
- auxiliary $Z_{4}$ separates neutral and charged lepton sectors at LO


Vacuum alignment crucial!
[eeg. Ma,Rajasekaran'01, Babu, Ma, Wale '03, Altarelli,Feruglio, '05,'06]
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\end{aligned}
$$

- This thus requires $m_{A}=m_{B}=m_{c}=0$, i.e. all non-trivial contractions between $\Phi$ and $\chi$ have to vanish in the potential.
- Breaking to the same subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ can be realized. The non-trivial couplings, i.e. $(\Phi \Phi)_{3}(\chi \chi)_{3}$ thus force breaking of group to the same subgroup.
- the couplings cannot be forbidden by an internal symmetry that commutes with $\mathrm{A}_{4}$, as e.g. $\left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right)_{3}$ is invariant under the commuting symmetry.
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In SUSY, one has to introduce a continuous R-symmetry and additional fields with Rcharge 2(driving fields). These fields enter the superpotential only linearly and allow the vacuum alignment.
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Babu and Ga: - leptons t

- if one ta
- neutrino
- Model is fine-tuned/needs special UV completion: different mass entries in neutrino mass matrix stem from operators of very different mass dimensions $(\mathrm{II})_{3} \Phi^{4}+(\mathrm{II})_{1}$
- non-minimal(size: 15552), needs large representations
the properties

TABLE II. The character table for $\left(S_{3} \times S_{3} \times S_{3} \times S_{3}\right) \times A_{4}$.

|  | 1 | 8 | 24 | 32 | 16 | 12 | 54 | 108 | 81 | 72 | 144 | 216 | 96 | 216 | 216 | 108 | 432 | 432 | 648 | 1296 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ... |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | . |
| $1^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | . $\cdot$ |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | . $\cdot$ |
| İ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | . |
| $\tilde{1}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | . |
| $\mathrm{I}^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | . |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -1 | $-1$ | -1 | 1 | 1 | . |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . $\cdot$ |
| $4^{\prime}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $4^{\prime \prime}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | -2 | 0 | 2 | -4 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . |
| $\pi^{\prime}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | -2 | 0 | 2 | -4 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . $\cdot$ |
| $4^{\prime \prime}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | -2 | 0 | 2 | -4 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $6^{\prime}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -2 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | . $\cdot$ |
| 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | -1 | -4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $8^{\prime}$ | 8 | 5 | 2 | -1 | -4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $8^{\prime \prime}$ | 8 | 5 | 2 | -1 | -4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . $\cdot$ |
| 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | -1 | -4 | -6 | 4 | -2 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $8^{\prime}$ | 8 | 5 | 2 | -1 | -4 | -6 | 4 | -2 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $8^{\prime \prime}$ | 8 | 5 | 2 | -1 | -4 | -6 | 4 | -2 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 16 | 16 | -8 | 4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | . $\cdot$ |
| $16^{\prime}$ | 16 | -8 | 4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | . $\cdot$ |
| $16^{\prime \prime}$ | 16 | -8 | 4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 24 | 24 | 6 | -3 | -3 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-3$ | -2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | -2 | 2 | -1 | $\cdots$ |
| $24^{\prime}$ | 24 | 6 | -3 | -3 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | -4 | -1 | 2 | -2 | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| 24 | 24 | 6 | -3 | -3 | 6 | -12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | -2 | -3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | -2 | -2 | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| 24 | 24 | 6 | -3 | -3 | 6 | -12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | -2 | -3 | 1 | 0 | -4 | -1 | 2 | 2 | -1 | . |
| $24^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 24 | 15 | 6 | -3 | -12 | 6 | -4 | -6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | -1 | -3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 24 | 24 | 15 | 6 | -3 | -12 | -6 | -4 | 6 | 0 | -3 | 0 | -1 | 3 | 2 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 32 | 32 | -4 | -4 | 5 | -4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 2 | 0 | $-1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $32^{\prime}$ | 32 | -4 | -4 | 5 | -4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 2 | 0 | $-1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $32^{\prime \prime}$ | 32 | -4 | -4 | 5 | -4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 2 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $\widetilde{32}$ | 32 | -4 | -4 | 5 | -4 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $\widetilde{32}^{\prime}$ | 32 | -4 | -4 | 5 | -4 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . $\cdot$ |
| $\widetilde{32}^{\prime \prime}$ | 32 | -4 | -4 | 5 | -4 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 48 | 48 | -24 | 12 | -6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 48 | 48 | 12 | -6 | -6 | 12 | 0 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . $\cdot$ |

- if one ta
- neutrino
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- Furthermore, we demand that the renormalizable scalar potential formed out of such a triplet flavon $\chi$ and $\Phi$ exhibits an accidental symmetry $\mathrm{G} \times \mathrm{H}$, i.e. $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}(\Phi)$ $+\mathrm{V}(\chi)+(\Phi \Phi)_{1}(\chi \chi)_{1}$.


## Scan for Small Groups

- using the computer algebra system GAP and its SmallGroups catalogue, we have checked all groups with size smaller than 1000 ( $11,758,814$ groups) and we have found a number of candidates:
- no candidates for $T_{7}$ or $\Delta(27)$, maybe because here 3 is complex and there are more couplings that have to be forbidden (also smaller number of possible extensions)
- all candidates in list have nontrivial centre(=element that commute with all other elements), not necessary true for all groups(see e.g. $\left(S_{3}\right)^{4} \times A_{4}$ studied in Babu/Gabriel 2010)

| Subgroup $H$ | Order of $G$ | GAP | Structure Description | $Z(G)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{4}$ | 96 | 204 | $Q_{8} \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{2}$ |
|  | 288 | 860 | $T^{\prime} \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{2}$ |
|  | 384 | 617, 20123 | $\left(\left(Z_{2} \times Q_{8}\right) \rtimes Z_{2}\right) \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{2}$ |
|  | 576 | 8273 | $\left(Z_{2} . S_{4}\right) \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{2}$ |
|  | 768 | 1083945 | $\left(Z_{4} \cdot Z_{4}^{2}\right) \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{4}$ |
|  |  | 1085279 | $\left(\left(Z_{2} \times Q_{16}\right) \rtimes Z_{2}\right) \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{2}$ |
| $S_{4}$ | 192 | 1494 | $Q_{8} \rtimes S_{4}$ | $Z_{2}$ |
|  | 384 | $\begin{gathered} 18133,20092 \\ 20096 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(Z_{2} \times Q_{8}\right) \rtimes S_{4} \\ \left(\left(Z_{4} \times Z_{2}\right) \rtimes Z_{2}\right) \rtimes S_{4} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & Z_{2} \\ & Z_{4} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 576 | 8282 | $T^{\prime} \rtimes S_{4}$ | $Z_{2}$ |
|  | 576 | 8480 | $\left(Z_{3} \times Q_{8}\right) \rtimes S_{4}$ | $Z_{6}$ |
|  | 768 | 1086052, 1086053 | $\left(\left(Z_{2} \times Q_{8}\right) \rtimes Z_{2}\right) \rtimes S_{4}$ | $Z_{2}$ |
|  | 960 | 11114 | $\left(Z_{5} \times Q_{8}\right) \rtimes S_{4}$ | $Z_{10}$ |
| $T^{\prime}$ | 192 | 1022 | $Q_{8} \rtimes T^{\prime}$ | $Z_{2}^{2}$ |
|  | 648 | 533 | $\Delta(27) \rtimes T^{\prime}$ | $Z_{3}$ |
|  | 768 | 1083573, 1085187 | $\left(\left(Z_{2} \times Q_{8}\right) \rtimes Z_{2}\right) \rtimes T^{\prime}$ | $Z_{2}^{2}$ |

Groups of the structure $G=N \rtimes H, H$ is subgroup of G

## Scan for Small Groups

- using the computer algebra system GAP and its SmallGroups catalogue, we have checked all groups with size smaller than 1000 ( $11,758,814$ groups) and we have found a number of candidates:
- no candidates for $T_{7}$ or $\Delta(27)$, maybe because here 3 is complex and there are more couplings that have to be forbidden (also smaller number of possible extensions)
- all candidates in list have nontrivial centre(=element that commute with all other elements), not necessary true for all groups(see e.g. $\left(S_{3}\right)^{4} \times A_{4}$ studied in Babu/Gabriel 2010)

| Quotient Group $H$ | Order of $G$ | GAP | Structure Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{4}$ | 96 | 201 | $Z_{2} \cdot\left(Z_{2}^{2} \times A_{4}\right)$ |
|  | 144 | 127 | $Z_{2} \cdot\left(A_{4} \times S_{3}\right)$ |
|  | 192 | 1017 | $Z_{2} \cdot\left(D_{8} \times A_{4}\right)$ |
|  | 96 | 67,192 | $Z_{4} \cdot S_{4}$ |
|  | 144 | 121,122 | $Z_{6} \cdot S_{4}$ |
|  | 192 | 187,963 | $Z_{8} \cdot S_{4}$ |
|  | 192 | 987,988 | $Z_{2} \cdot\left(\left(Z_{2}^{2} \times A_{4}\right) \rtimes Z_{2}\right)$ |
|  | 192 | 1483,1484 | $Z_{2} \cdot\left(Z_{2}^{2} \times S_{4}\right)$ |
|  | 192 | 1492 | $Z_{2} \cdot\left(\left(Z_{2}^{4} \rtimes Z_{3}\right) \rtimes Z_{2}\right)$ |
|  | 192 | 1007 | $Z_{2}^{2} \cdot\left(Z_{2}^{2} \times A_{4}\right)$ |

Groups for which H is not a subgroup of G

## Smallest Group

The smallest candidate group that contains $A_{4}$ as a subgroup is the semidirect product of the quaternion group $Q_{8}$

$$
\left\langle X, Y \mid X^{4}=1, X^{2}=Y^{2}, Y^{-1} X Y=X^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

with $A_{4}$

$$
\left\langle S, T \mid S^{2}=T^{3}=(S T)^{3}=1\right\rangle
$$

defined by the additional relations ( $\Leftrightarrow$ the homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow$ Aut $(N)$ introduced earlier)

$$
S X S^{-1}=X, \quad S Y S^{-1}=Y^{-1}, \quad T X T^{-1}=Y X, \quad T Y T^{-1}=X
$$



## Smallest Group

The smallest candidate group that contains $A_{4}$ as a subgroup is the semidirect product of the quaternion group $Q_{8}$

$$
\left\langle X, Y \mid X^{4}=1, X^{2}=Y^{2}, Y^{-1} X Y=X^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

with $A_{4}$

$$
\left\langle S, T \mid S^{2}=T^{3}=(S T)^{3}=1\right\rangle
$$

defined by the additional relations $(\Leftrightarrow$ the homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow$ Aut $(\mathbb{N})$ introduced earlier)

$$
S X S^{-1}=X, \quad S Y S^{-1}=Y^{-1}, \quad T X T^{-1}=Y X, \quad T Y T^{-1}=X
$$

Representations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{3}_{\mathbf{i}} \times \underline{3}_{\mathbf{i}}=\underline{1}_{1}+\underline{1}_{2}+\underline{1}_{3}+\underline{3}_{i S}+\underline{3}_{i_{A}} \\
& \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{i}} \times \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{j}}=\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\
k \neq i, j}}^{5} \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathrm{k}} \\
& (i \neq j) \\
& \underline{3}_{\mathbf{i}} \times \underline{4}_{\mathbf{j}}=\underline{4}_{1}+\underline{4}_{2}+\underline{4} 3 \\
& \underline{4}_{1} \times \underline{4}_{1}=\underline{1}_{1_{S}}+\underline{3}_{1_{A}}+\underline{3}_{2 S}+\underline{3}_{3 S}+\underline{3}_{4_{S}}+\underline{3}_{5} \\
& \underline{4}_{1} \times \underline{4}_{2}=\underline{1}_{2 S}+\underline{3}_{1_{A}}+\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}+\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{3}+\underline{3}_{\mathbf{4}_{S}}+\underline{3}_{5_{A}}
\end{aligned}
$$

faithful representation $\Phi$ is what we were looking for.
( $\Phi \Phi$ ) only contains non-trivial contraction of the $A_{4}$ subgroup.

## The model

| particle | $S U(3)_{c}$ | $S U(2)_{L}$ | $U(1)_{Y}$ | $Q_{8} \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell$ | 1 | 2 | $-1 / 2$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ | i |
| $e^{c}+\mu^{c}+\tau^{c}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{1}+\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{2}+\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{3}$ | -i |
| $H$ | 1 | 2 | $1 / 2$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\chi$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\phi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{\mathbf{n}}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\phi_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{\mathbf{4}}_{1}$ | -1 |

## Tค\& $\quad\langle\chi\rangle=\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)^{T}$,

| particle | $S U(3)_{c}$ | $S U(2)_{L}$ | $U(1)_{Y}$ | $Q_{8} \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell$ | 1 | 2 | $-1 / 2$ | $\underline{3}_{1}$ | i |
| $e^{c}+\mu^{c}+\tau^{c}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\underline{1}_{1}+\underline{1}_{2}+\underline{1}_{3}$ | -i |
| $H$ | 1 | 2 | $1 / 2$ | $\underline{1}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\chi$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\phi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{4}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\phi_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{4}_{1}$ | -1 |

VEVs:

$$
\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a, a, b,-b)^{T}
$$

$$
\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c, c, d,-d)^{T}
$$

$$
\left\langle\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(b c-a d, 0,0)^{T}
$$

$$
\left\langle\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right)_{\underline{1}_{1}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(a c+b d)
$$

## The model

$$
\langle\chi\rangle=\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)^{T}
$$

| particle | $S U(3)_{c}$ | $S U(2)_{L}$ | $U(1)_{Y}$ | $Q_{8} \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell$ | 1 | 2 | $-1 / 2$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ | i |
| $e^{c}+\mu^{c}+\tau^{c}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\underline{1}_{1}+\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{2}+\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{3}$ | -i |
| $H$ | 1 | 2 | $1 / 2$ | $\underline{1}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\chi$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\phi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{4}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\phi_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{4}_{1}$ | -1 |

VEVs:

$$
\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a, a, b,-b)^{T},
$$

$$
\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c, c, d,-d)^{T}
$$

$$
\left\langle\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \underline{\mathbf{}}_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(b c-a d, 0,0)^{T}
$$

$$
\left\langle\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right)_{1_{1}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(a c+b d)
$$

LO charged lepton masses:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{e}^{(5)}=y_{e}(\ell \chi) \underline{1}_{1} e^{c} \tilde{H} / \Lambda+y_{\mu}(\ell \chi)_{1_{3}} \mu^{c} \tilde{H} / \Lambda+y_{\tau}(\ell \chi)_{\mathbf{1}_{2}} \tau^{c} \tilde{H} / \Lambda+\text { h.c. }
$$

$$
\mathbf{m}_{e} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
y_{e} & y_{\mu} & y_{\tau} \\
y_{e} & \omega y_{\mu} & \omega^{2} y_{\tau} \\
y_{e} & \omega^{2} y_{\mu} & \omega y_{\tau}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## The model <br> $$
\langle\chi\rangle=\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)^{T},
$$

| particle | $S U(3)_{c}$ | $S U(2)_{L}$ | $U(1)_{Y}$ | $Q_{8} \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell$ | 1 | 2 | $-1 / 2$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ | i |
| $e^{c}+\mu^{c}+\tau^{c}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{1}+\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{2}+\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{3}$ | -i |
| $H$ | 1 | 2 | $1 / 2$ | $\underline{1}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\chi$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\phi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{\mathbf{n}}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\phi_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{4}_{1}$ | -1 |

VEVs:

$$
\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a, a, b,-b)^{T}
$$

$$
\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c, c, d,-d)^{T}
$$

$$
\left\langle\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(b c-a d, 0,0)^{T}
$$

$$
\left\langle\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right)_{1_{1}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(a c+b d)
$$

LO charged lepton masses:

$$
\mathcal{L}^{(5)}=y_{e}(\ell \chi)_{1_{1}} e^{c} \tilde{H} / \Lambda+y_{\mu}(\ell \chi)_{1} \mu^{c} \tilde{H} / \Lambda+y_{\tau}(\ell \chi)_{1_{2}} \tau^{c} \tilde{H} / \Lambda+\text { h.c. }
$$

LO neutral lepton masses:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{(7)}=x_{a}(\ell H \ell H)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}} / \Lambda^{3}+x_{d}(\ell H \ell H) \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{1} \cdot\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{x}_{1}} / \Lambda^{3}+\text { h.c. }
$$

$$
\mathbf{m}_{e} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
y_{e} & y_{\mu} & y_{\tau} \\
y_{e} & \omega y_{\mu} & \omega^{2} y_{\tau} \\
y_{e} & \omega^{2} y_{\mu} & \omega y_{\tau}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{m}_{V} \sim\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{a} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{a} & \tilde{d} \\
0 & \tilde{d} & \tilde{a}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## ?

| particle | $S U(3)_{c}$ | $S U(2)_{L}$ | $U(1)_{Y}$ | $Q_{8} \rtimes A_{4}$ | $Z_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell$ | 1 | 2 | $-1 / 2$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ | i |
| $e^{c}+\mu^{c}+\tau^{c}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{1}+\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{2}+\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{3}$ | -i |
| $H$ | 1 | 2 | $1 / 2$ | $\underline{1}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\chi$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\phi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{4}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\phi_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\underline{4}_{1}$ | -1 |

LO charged lepton masses:

VEVs:

$$
\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a, a, b,-b)^{T},
$$

$$
\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c, c, d,-d)^{T}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(b c-a d, 0,0)^{T} \\
\left\langle\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \underline{1}_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(a c+b d)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{e}^{(5)}=y_{e}(\ell \chi)_{1_{1}} e^{c} \tilde{H} / \Lambda+y_{\mu}(\ell \chi)_{1_{3}} \mu^{c} \tilde{H} / \Lambda+y_{\tau}(\ell \chi)_{\mathbf{1}_{2}} \tau^{c} \tilde{H} / \Lambda+\text { h.c. }
$$

LO neutral lepton masses:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{(7)}=x_{a}(\ell H \ell H)_{\underline{1}_{1}}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right)_{\underline{1}_{1}} / \Lambda^{3}+x_{d}(\ell H \ell H)_{\mathbf{x}_{1}} \cdot\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{x}_{1}} / \Lambda^{3}+\text { h.c. }
$$

- additional $4_{1}$ necessary to get correct symmetry breaking (otherwise only breaking to $A_{4}$ )
- same \# of d.o.f. as in case of complex triplet and singlet, no additional driving fields necessary
- low flavour symmetry breaking scale possible, testable

Scalar Potential \& Vacuum Alignment
The most general scalar potential invariant under the flavour symmetry is given by

$$
V\left(\chi, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=V_{\chi}(\chi)+V_{\phi}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)+V_{\operatorname{mix}}\left(\chi, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\phi}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\mu_{1}^{2}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{1}\right)_{\underline{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}+\alpha_{1}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{1}\right)_{\underline{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}^{2}+\sum_{i=2,3} \alpha_{i}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{1}\right) \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{1}\right) \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{i}} \\
& +\mu_{2}^{2}\left(\phi_{2} \phi_{2}\right)_{\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{1}}}+\beta_{1}\left(\phi_{2} \phi_{2}\right)_{\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{1}}}^{2}+\sum_{i=2,3} \beta_{i}\left(\phi_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot\left(\phi_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{i}} \\
& +\gamma_{1}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}}\left(\phi_{2} \phi_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}}+\sum_{i=2,3,4} \gamma_{i}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{1}\right) \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot\left(\phi_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{i}} \\
& V_{\chi}(\chi)=\mu_{3}^{2}(\chi \chi)_{\underline{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}+\rho_{1}(\chi \chi \chi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}+\lambda_{1}(\chi \chi)_{\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{1}}}^{2}+\lambda_{2}(\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\chi \chi)_{\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{3}}} \\
& V_{\operatorname{mix}}\left(\chi, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\zeta_{13}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{1}\right)_{1_{1}}(\chi \chi)_{1_{1}}+\zeta_{23}\left(\phi_{2} \phi_{2}\right)_{1_{1}}(\chi \chi)_{\underline{1}_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Potential has an accidental symmetry $\left[\left(Q_{8} \rtimes A_{4}\right) \times A_{4}\right] \times Z_{4}$
- invariant under independent transformations of $\Phi$ and $\chi$
- note that couplings such as $\chi \cdot\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \underline{\boldsymbol{z}}_{1}$ are forbidden by the auxiliary $Z_{4}$ symmetry that separates the charged and neutral lepton sectors


## Scalar Potential \& Vacuum Alignment

## Scalar Potential \& Vacuum Alignment

- Characterization of Minima
- If there is a minimum, in which the symmetry generator $Q \in G$ is left unbroken, i.e. $Q\left\langle\Phi_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi_{i}\right\rangle$,there are degenerate minima $\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\mathrm{g}\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ that leave $\mathrm{gQg}^{-1}$ unbroken, with $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}$.
- The physically distinct minima are therefore characterized by the conjugacy class $G Q=\left\{\mathrm{gQg}^{-1}: g \in G\right\}$ Only conjugacy classes with an eigenvalue +1 can lead to a non-trivial little group.


## Scalar Potential \& Vacuum Alignment

- Characterization of Minima
- If there is a minimum, in which the symmetry generator $Q \in G$ is left unbroken, i.e. $Q\left\langle\Phi_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi_{i}\right\rangle$,there are degenerate minima $\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\mathrm{g}\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ that leave $\mathrm{gQg}^{-1}$ unbroken, with $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}$.
- The physically distinct minima are therefore characterized by the conjugacy class $G Q=\left\{\mathrm{gQg}^{-1}: g \in G\right\}$ Only conjugacy classes with an eigenvalue +1 can lead to a non-trivial little group.
- For $4_{1}$ there are 5 such classes

There are three physically distinct minima of $\phi_{1}$, that preserve a $Z_{2}$ subgroup:

- $\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a, a, b,-b)^{T}$ results in the little group $\langle S\rangle$,
- $\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=(0, a, b, 0)^{T}$ in $\langle S Y\rangle$ and
- $\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-a, b,-a, b)^{T}$ in $\langle S Y X\rangle$.

In addition, there is one preserving a $Z_{3}$ subgroup:

- $\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a, a, a, b)^{T}$ preserves $\langle T\rangle$ (as well as $\left.\left\langle T^{2}\right\rangle=\langle T\rangle\right)$.


## Scalar Potential \& Vacuum Alignment

- Characterization of Minima
- If there is a minimum, in which the symmetry generator $Q \in G$ is left unbroken, i.e. $Q\left\langle\Phi_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi_{i}\right\rangle$,there are degenerate minima $\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\mathrm{g}\left\langle\Phi_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ that leave $\mathrm{gQg}^{-1}$ unbroken, with $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}$.
- The physically distinct minima are therefore characterized by the conjugacy class $G Q=\left\{\mathrm{gQg}^{-1}: g \in G\right\}$ Only conjugacy classes with an eigenvalue +1 can lead to a non-trivial little group.
- For $4_{1}$ there are 5 such classes

There are three physically distinct minima of $\phi_{1}$, that preserve a $Z_{2}$ subgroup:

- $\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a, a, b,-b)^{T}$ results in the little group $\langle S\rangle$,
- $\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=(0, a, b, 0)^{T}$ in $\langle S Y\rangle$ and
- $\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-a, b,-a, b)^{T}$ in $\langle S Y X\rangle$.


$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{1}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(b c-a d, 0,0)^{T} \\
\left\langle\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right)_{\boldsymbol{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(a c+b d) \\
(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}) \text { replaced by }(c, \mathrm{~d}) \text { in } \Phi_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

In addition, there is one preserving a $Z_{3}$ subgroup:

- $\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a, a, a, b)^{T}$ preserves $\langle T\rangle$ (as well as $\left.\left\langle T^{2}\right\rangle=\langle T\rangle\right)$.


## Scalar Potential \& Vacuum Alignment

Minimum Conditions

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a\left(\alpha_{+}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)+\alpha_{-}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)+\gamma_{+}\left(c^{2}+d^{2}\right)+\gamma_{-}\left(c^{2}-d^{2}\right)+U_{1}\right)+\Gamma b c d=0 \\
b\left(\alpha_{+}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)-\alpha_{-}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)+\gamma_{+}\left(c^{2}+d^{2}\right)-\gamma_{-}\left(c^{2}-d^{2}\right)+U_{1}\right)+\Gamma a c d=0 \\
c\left(\beta_{+}\left(c^{2}+d^{2}\right)+\beta_{-}\left(c^{2}-d^{2}\right)+\gamma_{+}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)+\gamma_{-}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)+U_{2}\right)+\Gamma a b d=0 \\
d\left(\beta_{+}\left(c^{2}+d^{2}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(c^{2}-d^{2}\right)+\gamma_{+}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)-\gamma_{-}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)+U_{2}\right)+\Gamma a b c=0 \\
v^{\prime}\left(4 \sqrt{3} \lambda_{1} v^{\prime 2}+3 \rho_{1} v^{\prime}+2 \mu_{3}^{2}+\zeta_{13}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)+\zeta_{23}\left(c^{2}+d^{2}\right)\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

with

$$
\xi_{+}=\frac{\xi_{1}}{2}, \xi_{-}=\frac{\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}}{2 \sqrt{3}} \text { for } \xi=\alpha, \beta \quad \text { for }\langle\mathrm{S}\rangle, \text { similar relations for }\langle\mathrm{SY}\rangle, \quad U_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{i}^{2}+\sqrt{3} \zeta_{i 3} v^{\prime 2}\right)
$$

$$
\gamma_{+}=\frac{\sqrt{3} \gamma_{1}+\gamma_{4}}{4 \sqrt{3}}, \quad \gamma_{-}=\frac{\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}}{4 \sqrt{3}} \quad \text { and } \Gamma=\frac{\gamma_{4}}{\sqrt{3}} \quad\langle S Y X\rangle
$$

- eleven minimization conditions reduce to these 5 equations for 5 VEVs there is therefore generally a solution
- note that e.g. $a=b=0$ or $c=d=0$ is also a solution, here the singlet and triplet contraction vanishes
- we have performed a numerical study to show that there is finite region of parameter space where 〈S〉 is the global minimum


## Higher Order Corrections

- NLO Corrections to vacuum potential

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{(5)}=\sum_{L, M=1}^{2} & \sum_{i, j=2}^{4} \frac{\delta_{i j}^{(L M)}}{\Lambda} \chi \cdot\left\{\left(\phi_{L} \phi_{L}\right) \underline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot\left(\phi_{M} \phi_{M}\right)_{\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{j}}}\right\}_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{1}}}+ \\
& +\frac{\chi^{3}}{\Lambda}\left(\delta_{1}^{(3)} \chi^{2}+\delta_{2}^{(3)}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{1}\right)_{\underline{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}+\delta_{3}^{(3)}\left(\phi_{2} \phi_{2}\right)_{\underline{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta_{i j}^{(L M)}=0 \text { for } i \geq j
$$

- leads to shifts in VEVs

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\chi\rangle & =\left(v^{\prime}+\delta v_{1}^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+\delta v_{2}^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+\delta v_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{T} \\
\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a+\delta a_{1}, a+\delta a_{2}, b+\delta a_{3},-b+\delta a_{4}\right)^{T} \\
\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c+\delta b_{1}, c+\delta b_{2}, d+\delta b_{3},-d+\delta b_{4}\right)^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

- generic size of shifts

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\delta u}{u} \sim \frac{u}{\Lambda} \\
\left\langle\chi_{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle\chi_{3}\right\rangle=\mathcal{O}\left(1 / \Lambda^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

VEV alignment not destroyed!
generic size of shifts for scalar potential parameters of order one

## Higher Order Corrections



- $\sin ^{2} \theta_{13} \approx .1$ as suggested by T2K can be accomodated at NLO
- or by introducing additional non-trivial singlet field $\xi \sim\left(1_{2}, i\right)$ [does not destroy VEV alignment]


## UV Completion

For Seesaw UV completion, introduce fermionic singlets $N \sim\left(3_{1,-}\right)$, $S_{2 \sim}(42, i), S_{3 \sim}(43,-i)$ :


$$
\mathcal{L}=x_{\ell N} \ell H N+x_{N 2} N S_{2} \phi_{1}+x_{N 3} N S_{3} \phi_{2}+m S_{2} S_{3}+x_{23} S_{2} S_{3} \chi+\text { h.c. },
$$

generates singlet masses ( N ):

$$
m_{N}=\frac{x_{N 2} x_{N 3}}{m}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A & B \\
0 & B & A
\end{array}\right) \text { with } A=-2(a c+b d) \text { and } B=\mathrm{i} \sqrt{3}(b c-a d) \text {. }
$$

the light neutrino mass matrix $m_{\nu}=x_{\ell N}^{2} v^{2} m_{N}^{-1}$ is of TBM form

$$
U_{\nu}^{T} m_{\nu} U_{\nu}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{B+A}, \frac{1}{A}, \frac{1}{B-A}\right)
$$

Accidental degeneracy of $m_{1}$ and $m_{3}$ is lifted by introduction of additional $S_{2}$ or $S_{3}$.
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## Mathematica Package Discrete

We have developed a Mathematica Package that can be used to facilitate model building using discrete groups. It has the features:

- has access to groups catalogue of GAP, which contains all groups one would ever want to use
- calculate Kronecker products, Clebsch-Gordon coefficients, covariants formed out of product of any representation etc.

```
In[193]:= \chi = MBgetRepVector [Group, 4, \chic]
    L = MBgetRepVector [Group, 4, Lc]
Out[193]={{},{},{},{{\chic1,\chic2,\chic3}}}
Dut[194]={{},{},{},{{Lc1, Lc2, Lc3}}}
```






$\operatorname{In}[197]:=\operatorname{MBmultipl} \mathbf{Y}[$ Group,$\{x, x, x, L, L\}][[1]]$
Out[197] $=\left\{\left\{\left(\operatorname{Lc} 1^{2}+\operatorname{Lc} 2^{2}+\right.\right.\right.$ Lc $\left.\left.^{2}\right) \chi \mathrm{C} 1 \chi \mathrm{C} 2 \chi \mathrm{C} 3\right\}$,
$\left\{\frac{1}{3}(\operatorname{LC} 2 \operatorname{Lc} 3 \chi \mathrm{C} 1+\operatorname{LC} 1 \mathrm{Lc} 3 \times \mathrm{C} 2+\operatorname{LC} 1 \mathrm{Lc} 2 \chi \mathrm{C} 3)\left(\chi \mathrm{C} 1^{2}+\chi \mathrm{C} 2^{2}+\chi \mathrm{C} 3^{2}\right)\right\}$,
$\left\{\frac{\text { Lc } 1 \mathrm{Lc} 3 \chi \mathrm{C} 2 \chi \mathrm{C} 3^{2}+\mathrm{Lc} 2 \chi \mathrm{C} 1\left(\operatorname{Lc} 3 \chi \mathrm{C} 2^{2}+\mathrm{Lc} 1 \chi \mathrm{C} 1 \chi \mathrm{C} 3\right)}{\sqrt{3}}\right\}$,
$\left\{\frac{\operatorname{Lc} 2 \operatorname{Lc} 3 \chi \mathrm{C} 1 \chi \mathrm{C} 3^{2}+\operatorname{Lc} 1 \chi \mathrm{C} 2\left(\operatorname{Lc} 3 \chi \mathrm{C} 1^{2}+\operatorname{Lc} 2 \chi \mathrm{C} 2 \chi \mathrm{C} 3\right)}{\sqrt{3}}\right\}$,
$\left\{\frac{1}{6 \sqrt{3}}\left(\operatorname{Lc} 1 \operatorname{Lc} 3 \times \mathrm{C} 2\left(-(-3 i+\sqrt{3}) \times \mathrm{c} 1^{2}+2 \sqrt{3} \chi \mathrm{c} 2^{2}-(3 i+\sqrt{3}) \times \mathrm{c} 3^{2}\right)+\right.\right.$
Lc2 $\left(\operatorname{Lc} 1 \chi \mathrm{c} 3\left(-(3 i+\sqrt{3}) \chi \mathrm{c} 1^{2}-(-3 i+\sqrt{3}) \chi \mathrm{c}^{2}+2 \sqrt{3} \chi \mathrm{c} 3^{2}\right)+\right.$
Lc3 $\left.\left.\left.\chi \mathrm{c} 1\left(2 \sqrt{3} \times \mathrm{c} 1^{2}-(3 i+\sqrt{3}) \times \mathrm{c} 2^{2}-(-3 i+\sqrt{3}) \times \mathrm{c} 3^{2}\right)\right)\right)\right\}$,

## Mathematica Package Discrete

We have developed a Mathematica Package that can be used to facilitate model building using discrete groups. It has the features:

- has access to groups catalogue of GAP, which contains all groups one would ever want to use
- calculate Kronecker products, Clebsch-Gordon coefficients, covariants formed out of product of any representation etc.
- reduce set covariants to a smaller set of independent covariants
- calculate flavon potentials



## Mathematica Package Discrete

We have developed a Mathematica Package that can be used to facilitate model building using discrete groups. It has the features:

- has access to groups catalogue of GAP, which contains all groups one would ever want to use
- calculate Kronecker products, Clebsch-Gordon coefficients, covariants formed out of product of any representation etc.
- reduce set covariants to a smaller set of independent covariants
- calculate flavon potentials
- available at http://projects.hepforge.org/discrete/
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