Composite Higgs phenomenology at the LHC and Future Colliders

Stefania De Curtis



and Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Florence University, Italy

Based on: DC, Redi, Tesi, JHEP 1204,042 (2012); Barducci et al, JHEP 1304, 152 (2013); Barducci et al, JHEP 1309,047 (2013); DC, Redi, Vigiani, JHEP 1406,071 (2014); Barducci, DC, Moretti, Pruna, JHEP 1402,005 (2014)

574. Wilhelm und Else Hereaus Seminar **STRONG INTERACTIONS IN THE LHC ERA** Physikzentrum Bad Honnef, Germany, Nov. 12-14, 2014



Outline

The 125 GeV Higgs-like signal observed at the LHC could not be the "fundamental" Standard Model Higgs

From a theoretical point of view the SM is unsatisfactory. Explore BSM solutions: Higgs as Nambu-Goldstone boson provides an elegant solution for naturalness

Minimal effective description: the 4-Dimensional Composite Higgs Model (4DCHM) and generalizations

Signatures at the LHC: scalar sector, extra resonances

Phenomenology at future e+e- colliders

Why Beyond the Standard Model ?



Higgs as a Composite Pseudo Goldstone Boson



Kaplan, Georgi '80s

The basic idea

- ▶ Higgs as Goldstone Boson of *G*/*H* in a strong sector
- An idea already realized for pions in QCD

How to get an Higgs mass?

- G is only an approximate global symmetry $g_0 \rightarrow V(h)$
- EWSB as in the SM
- And the hierarchy problem? no Higgs mass term at tree level

$$\rightarrow \delta m_h^2 \sim \frac{g_0^2}{16\pi^2} \Lambda_{com}^2$$

$$l \sim 1/\Lambda_{com}$$



Characteristics of a Composite Higgs

It is not a true (SM-like) Higgs...

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} h)^2 + V(h) + \frac{v^2}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[(D_{\mu} \Sigma)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} \Sigma) \right] \left(1 + 2 a \frac{h}{v} + b \frac{h^2}{v^2} + \cdots \right) \\ &- \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i,j} \left(\bar{u}_L^i \bar{d}_L^i \right) \Sigma \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_{ij}^u u_R^j \\ \lambda_{ij}^d d_R^j \end{array} \right) \left(1 + c \frac{h}{v} + \cdots \right) + \mathcal{L}_{SM, \not h} \end{split}$$

- SM Higgs for a a = b = c = 1
- ▶ GB Higgs $a = \sqrt{1 v^2/f^2}, \ b = 1 2 \ v^2/f^2$ SM limit $f \to \infty$ ▶ Composite Higgs only partly unitarizes WW scattering $A(s,t,u) \sim \frac{s+t}{f^2}$ ▶ Technicolor limit f = v

Composite Higgs Model

6

From now on, composite=pseudo-Goldstone

How to construct a complete Composite Higgs Model?

- $G/H \supset 4, G_{SM} \subset H$
- Computable Higgs mass: finite 1-loop effective potential
- Need for composite resonances!
- Not too large tuning

$$\xi = \frac{v^2}{f^2}, \quad v = 246 \text{ GeV}, \quad f \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$$

MINIMAL MODEL with $SU(2)_C$ Agashe, Contino, Pomarol (hep-ph/0412089)

$$\frac{SO(5)}{SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R} \rightarrow \text{GB:} (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$$

 $ext{Higgs} = ext{pseudo-GB} \ (m_h \ll m_
ho)$



Composite Higgs Model in 5D

- Composite physics realized by Randall-Sundrum scenario
- ▶ H is the fifth component (A₅) of extradim. gauge field



- Symmetry breaking via boundary conditions
- # resonances = ∞
- ► Compositness degree ~ localization towards IR

Composite Higgs Model in 4D

- Only few resonances below the 5D cut-off, $\Lambda_5 \sim 16\pi^2/g_5^2$
- 4D models can be more general
- Composite physics largely indipendent of 5D bulk
- Only the first resonance "observable" at LHC



Explicit Models in 4D



4D Effective descriptions:

Simplified model (two sectors without GB) Contino, Kramer, Son, Sundrum '07

General low-energy effective description of a GB Higgs (CCWZ) Giudice, Grojean, Pomarol, Rattazzi '07

Add the lightest composite resonance Contino et al. 1109.1570; De Simone et al. 1211.5663; Grojean et al.1306.4655

Discrete models: Panico, Wulzer 1106.2719; DC, Redi, Tesi 1110.1613

- Deconstruction of a 5D model
- Description of the composite degrees of freedom accessible at the LHC
- Calculability

How to break G/H and add spin-1 resonances

Consider the σ -models Ω_1 and Ω_2 $(\Phi_2 = \Omega_2 \phi_0)$ respectively,

$$rac{G_L imes G_R}{G_{L+R}} \quad ext{and} \quad rac{G}{H}$$

...and gauge $G_R \times G$.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_1^2}{4} \text{Tr} |D_{\mu}\Omega_1|^2 + \frac{f_2^2}{2} (D_{\mu}\Phi_2)^T D^{\mu}\Phi_2 - \frac{1}{4g_{\rho}^2} \rho^A_{\mu\nu} \rho^{A\mu\nu}$$

- Resonances in H and G/H (as vector/axial QCD mesons)
- G_L non-linear global symmetry, H unbroken one. GB field $\Phi = \Omega_1 \Phi_2$
- Kinetic terms for $A_{\mu} \in G_{SM}$ fix the model

K copies \longrightarrow moose model



$$\Omega_i \to g_{i-1} \,\Omega_i \,g_i^{\dagger} \,, \quad \Omega_{K+1} \to g_K \,\Omega_{K+1} \,h^{\dagger}$$

GBs: $U(\Pi) = \Omega_1 \dots \Omega_{K+1}$

K massive spin-1 resonances + SO(N)/SO(N-1) GBs

nearest neighbour interactions : $K \to \infty \Rightarrow 5D$ theory

4DCHM = Minimal 4D realization of MCHM5

DC, Redi, Tesi 'I I

Agashe, Contino, Pomarol '04



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{ele}} &= -\frac{1}{4} A^{a}_{\mu\nu} A^{a}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{comp}} &= -\frac{1}{4} \rho^{A}_{\mu\nu} \rho^{A}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m^{2}_{\rho} \rho^{a}_{\mu} \rho^{a}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} m^{2}_{a_{1}} \rho^{\widehat{a}}_{\mu} \rho^{\widehat{a}}_{\mu} + |\partial_{\mu} H - i g_{\rho} \rho_{\mu} H|^{2} + \text{nl terms...} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{mix}} &= \frac{1}{2} m^{2}_{\rho} \frac{g^{2}_{0}}{g^{2}_{\rho}} A^{2}_{\mu} - m^{2}_{\rho} \frac{g_{0}}{g_{\rho}} A_{\mu} \rho_{\mu} + (\partial^{\mu} H^{\dagger} A_{\mu} H) \text{ nl terms...} \end{split}$$

- ► Non linear structure ↔ GB Higgs
- GB decay constant

$$f^2 = \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f_1^2 + f_2^2}$$

Composite spectrum

$$SO(4) \to m_{\rho}^2 = \frac{g_{\rho}^2 f_1^2}{2}, \qquad \frac{SO(5)}{SO(4)} \to m_{a_1}^2 = \frac{g_{\rho}^2 (f_1^2 + f_2^2)}{2}$$

Fermion sector: which representation?









And the Higgs mass?

Integrate out the composite sector and get a low-energy Lagrangian with form-factors Agashe, Contino, Pomarol '04

Quantum loops generate V(h)



Contribution from the gauge loops subleading

Is the potential finite? Yes ! Coset resonances are crucial for the finitness

$$m_H \sim 0.3 \, y_t rac{m}{f} v$$

Correlation with the lightest extra fermion mass

DC, Redi, Tesi 'I I

 $f=800~{\rm GeV},~\xi\sim 0.1$



I 25 GeV Higgs wants light (in the TeV region) fermionic partners The non-discovery of light extra fermions at LHC run2 requires a largest fine-tuning

Non minimal terms allowed by the symmetry

Add non nearest neighbour interactions (also considered in low energy QCD)

DC, Redi, Vigiani '14



The model reproduces the most general effective lagrangian up to two derivatives for a SO(N)/SO(N-1) CHM

equivalent to CCWZ formulation (Marzocca, Serone, Shu (hep-ph/1205.0770))

Interpolate between <u>discrete models</u> and <u>low energy effective models</u> calculability <u>generality</u>

S parameter

Tree level contribution due to spin-1 resonances (see Grojean, Matsedonskyi, Panico

hep-ph/1306.4655 for other contributions)

$$\begin{split} & M_{3}^{\mu} \bigvee^{\gamma\nu} \\ & & & & \\ & &$$

non nearest neighbour interactions $\longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(p^2)$

$$f_{0K+1}: \quad \Delta S = 4\pi v^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{m_{\rho_i}^2} + \frac{1}{m_{a_i}^2}\right) \frac{f^2 - f_{0K+1}^2}{f^2}$$

smaller contribution to S but the potential depends quadratically on the cut-off





non minimal terms can lower the tree level contribution to the S parameter If only minimal terms are considered the bound is $m_{
ho} \ge 2 \text{ TeV}$

Phenomenology of the 4DCHM at the LHC and future e⁺e⁻ colliders

Implementation of the 4DCHM

- Calculable 4D description: good framework to study general CHM features
- The particle spectrum is quite large and also the parameter space
 - SM leptons: e, μ, τ , and ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ
 - SM quarks; u, d, c, s, t, b
 - SM gauge bosons: γ, Z^0, W^{\pm}, g
 - 5 extra neutral gauge bosons: $Z'_{i=1,...,5}$
 - 3 extra charged gauge bosons: $W_{i=1,2,3}^{\prime\pm}$
 - 8 extra charged 2/3 fermions: $t'_{i=1,...,8}$
 - 8 extra charged -1/3 fermions: b'_{i=1,...,8}
 - 2 charged 5/3 fermions: $T'_{i=1,2}$
 - 2 charged -4/3 fermions: $B'_{i=1,2}$
 - 1 Higgs boson

4DCHM implemented in numerical tools

• Scan over model parameters with Mathematica program constrained by $\alpha, M_Z, G_F, Z_{b\bar{b}}$ coupling, and by top, bottom, Higgs masses:

 $165 < m_t(GeV) < 175, \ 2 < m_b(GeV) < 6, \ 124 < m_H(GeV) < 126$

output automatically read by LanHEP/CalcHEP

Automated implementation

LanHEP: package for the automated generation of Feynman rules Semenov, arXiv:1005.1909 CalcHEP: package for automated calculations of physical observables Belyaev et al, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 1729 HEPMDB: model available at https://hepmdb.soton.ac.uk

- Fermion parameter range for the scan:
 - 500 GeV $\leq m_*, \Delta_{t_L}, \Delta_{t_R}, Y_T, m_{Y_T}, Y_B, m_{Y_B} \leq$ 5000 GeV
 - 50 Gev $\leq \Delta_{b_L}, \Delta_{b_R} \leq$ 500 GeV (partial compositness spirit)
- Benchmark points: 750 < f(GeV) < 1200 and choosing $g_{
 ho}$ to get $m_{
 ho} \simeq fg_{
 ho} \ge 2 \text{ TeV}$ (EWPT)

Drell-Yan signals from the 4DCHM at the LHC

Barducci, Belyaev, DC, Moretti, Pruna, 1210.2927

Quarks can annihilate also in Z' (and W')



- DY allow us to investigate new gauge boson resonances
- Z' may be discovered as a peak in the dilepton invariant mass spectrum $Z' = Z_2, Z_3, Z_5$
- W' may be discovered as a peak in the dilepton missing-energy transverse mass spectrum $W' = W_2, W_3$

Widths of Z' and W'



large number of fermions strongly coupled to W'and Z'

Two possible extreme situations mandatory for • $M_{Z'/W'} < 2m_{t'/b'} \rightarrow \text{Small width } (<100 \text{GeV}) = \text{leptonic DY}$ • $M_{Z'/W'} > 2m_{t'/b'} \rightarrow \text{Large width } (\Gamma_{Z_i/W_i} \simeq mass/2) \text{ processes}$



Exploring the line-shape at LHC14 - Neutral Channel

 The LanHEP/CalcHEP/Mathematica implementation give us a powerful tool for an automatized analysis of the 4DCHM



Exploring the line-shape at LHC14 - Charged Channel



The role of extra-fermions

Z' and W' line shapes in relation with masses of heavy fermions: take the same masses and increase the widths $\Gamma/M \sim 1\%, 20\%, 50\%$



- Bounds on the mass of new Z' and W' from direct searches in leptonic
 DY processes crucially depend on their widths
- The analysis of the Z' and W' line shapes would reveal the presence (or not) of light extra fermions

Spin-1/2 : composite fermions could be light + exotic

• Production:



De Simone et al. 1211.5663; Matsedonskyi et al. 1204.633, 1409.0100

Bounds from direct searches at LHC (pair production) which assume 100% BR of t' in Wb or Zt , and 100% BR of b' in Wt or Zb rescaled to take into account the BR's in the 4DCHM, give $M_{T_1}, M_{B_1} > 600 \text{ GeV}$

Work in progress: implement the direct search results on the 4DCHM extra-fermions Barducci et al. 1405.0737

The 4DCHM and the 125 GeV Higgs-like signals at the LHC

• Higgs couplings to SM states are modified due to mixing $g_{HVV} = g_{HVV}^{SM} \sqrt{1-\xi}; \ g_{Hff} = g_{Hff}^{SM} \frac{(1-2\xi)}{\sqrt{1-\xi}}$

 For production and decay channels exploited in the LHC searches heavy bosonic and fermionic states can play a role via loops

 In the literature use effective schemes to study the residual effect of the (decoupled) composite sector on the SM one.
 NGB symmetry protects the couplings. No large deviations expected

• The 4DCHM is a completely calculable framework. Let's use it to test the PNGB hypothesis against the experimental data

Modification of the production rates



ex: gluon fusion and Higgs-strahlung, extra particles can be exchanged

Modification of the decay rates



ex: gamma gamma decay, extra particles can be exchanged

The 4DCHM and the 125 GeV Higgs-like signals at the LHC

- Define the R or μ parameters, i.e. the observed events over the SM
 - Introduce reduced couplings à la LHC HXSWG (A. Denner et al (arXiv:1209.0040))
 - We can cast R's in terms of κ 's

$$R_{YY}^{Y'Y'} = \frac{\kappa_{Y'}^2 \kappa_Y^2}{\kappa_H^2}$$

 $Y,Y'=b/\tau/g/\gamma/V$

$$\kappa_{b/\tau/g/\gamma/V}^2 = \frac{\Gamma(H \to b\bar{b}/\tau^+\tau^-/gg/\gamma\gamma/VV)|_{\rm 4DCHM}}{\Gamma(H \to b\bar{b}/\tau^+\tau^-/gg/\gamma\gamma/VV)|_{\rm SM}}$$

$$\kappa_H^2 = rac{\Gamma_{
m tot}(H)|_{
m 4DCHM}}{\Gamma_{
m tot}(H)|_{
m SM}}.$$

Higgs width

 κ_H smaller: b - b' mixing, all Higgs rates rise

• ~15-20% reduction in the 4DCHM mainly due to the modification of the Hbb coupling but also loop effects especially for low extra-fermion masses

direct searches exclusion: $M_{T_1}, M_{B_1} > 600 \ GeV$





loop in
$$\kappa_{\gamma}^2$$
 (typical of PNGB nature of the Higgs)
These contrasting effects lead to:

These contrasting effects lead to:

$$R_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 1$$

f = 1 TeV, $g_{\rho} = 2$

0.4

0.6

0.8

 $m_{T_1}(\text{TeV})$

1.2

1.4

1.6

Compare 4DCHM to LHC data

Barducci,Belyaev,Brown,DC, Moretti,Pruna,1302.2371



Points compliant with t', b', $T_{5/3}$ direct searches

performing χ^2 - the 4DCHM can fit as well as the SM

if LHC will not measure deviations from the SM in single Higgs production larger than 10% and does not discover any new particle with a clear role

How can we decide if the Higgs is the elementary SM Higgs or is it a composite state of a strong dynamics or it emerges as a PNGB from an underlying broken symmetry?

an electron-positron collider (cleaner enviroment, precision measurements, large number of Higgs bosons produced) could help in detecting deviations in the cross sections for single, double Higgs production or in the detection of the triple Higgs final state → (indirect) probe of compositness and PNGB schemes



Use the 4DCHM to test the potential of the proposed e⁺e⁻ colliders in detecting PNGB Higgs models (Barducci, DC, Moretti, Pruna, 1311.3305) ILC as an example with $(\sqrt{s}(\text{GeV}), L(fb^{-1})=(250, 250), (500, 500), (1000, 1000))$

Single Composite Higgs Boson produced via HS and VBF



Extra Gauge bosons W' and Z' can be exchanged

We have performed parameter scans for various benchmark points of the 4DCHM with $~M_{Z',W'}\sim 2~{\rm TeV}$

Inclusive HS production cross-section is affected by Z's



deviations from the SM up to 25% for 1 TeV machine the Z-Z' interference is very important



the decoupling limit could be inaccurate as it fails to account for significative interference effects

Effects still present for VBF production but smaller due to the different topologies of the Feynman diagrams







The triple Higgs self-coupling



double Higgs production via HS and via VBF

double Higgs production via HS : $ZHH \rightarrow Zb\overline{b}b\overline{b}$ double Higgs production via VBF : $\nu\bar{\nu}HH \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$

 $f = 800 \ GeV, \ g_{\rho} = 2.5 \\ f = 1000 \ GeV, \ g_{\rho} = 2$

* = decoupl. limit

sizable deviations in λ are possible from variation of production and/or decay rates at the level of several 10%

expected accuracies for the μ_i are shown

to be reduced by a factor 2 at least (ILC LumUP)

	ZHH @ 500 GeV	$\nu \bar{\nu} HH$ @ 1000 GeV
bb	0.64	0.38





Conclusions

If Higgs as a Nambu-Goldstone Boson is a compelling possibility for stabilising the EW scale

Markon Realistic scenarios can be built and analysed with the full spectrum

The 4DCHM embeds the main characteristics of composite Higgs models with partial compositness

Wew spin-I resonances manifest themselves (mainly) in DY channels and could be accessible at LHC run2

The 4DCHM fits the current LHC data pointing to the discovery of a I25 GeV Higgs boson

Other possible signatures: extra fermions (in the mass region accessible to LHC run2)

If nothing is seen, future e⁺e⁻ machines will have a great potential in testing the salient features of composite Higgs models in particular for PNGB Higgs

BACKUP SLIDES



Panico, MR, Tesi, Wulzer '12

$$\Delta = \operatorname{Max}_{i} \left| \frac{\partial \log m_{Z}}{\partial \log x_{i}} \right|$$





45

Low energy effective action

A useful tool: integrating out resonances.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{P_{\mu\nu}^{T}}{2} \left[\left(\Pi_{0}(p) + \frac{s_{h}^{2}}{4} \Pi_{1}(p) \right) A_{\mu}^{a} A_{\nu}^{a} + \left(\Pi_{B}(p) + \frac{s_{h}^{2}}{4} \Pi_{1}(p) \right) B_{\mu} B_{\nu} \right. \\ \left. + 2s_{h}^{2} \Pi_{1}(p) \, \widehat{H}^{\dagger} T_{L}^{a} Y \widehat{H} A_{\mu}^{a} B_{\nu} \right], \qquad s_{h}^{2} = \sin^{2} \frac{h}{f}$$

- ▶ Π_i(p) form factors of the composite sector
- EW scale

$$\frac{v}{f} = \sin\frac{\langle h \rangle}{f}$$

SM couplings

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{g^2} &= -\Pi_0'(0) = \frac{1}{g_0^2} + \frac{1}{g_\rho^2} \\ \frac{1}{g^{\prime 2}} &= -\Pi_B'(0) = \frac{1}{g_{0Y}^2} + \frac{1}{g_\rho^2} + \frac{1}{g_{\rho X}^2} \end{aligned}$$

$\log \Lambda^2 \text{-contributions to } S$ and T

Reduced coupling to WW.



Z' and W' decay channels

Z' main branching ratios

SMALL WIDTH

- *t*t̄ 𝒪(60%)
- W⁺W⁻, Z⁰H,
 bb O(10%)

- leptons an light quarks $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$
- $tar{t}'$ and $bar{b}'\lesssim 0.5\%$

mandatory for leptonic DY processes

Analogous for the W^\prime

LARGE WIDTH

- $t'\bar{t}', b'\bar{b}'\mathcal{O}(30\%)$
- T'T', B'B'
 O(10%)
- tt

 tt

 bb

 𝔅(1%)

The Z' and W' decay widths affect LHC Drell-Yan signatures

Calculating significance, neutral channel - 14 TeV LHC $S/\sqrt{B} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L} = 100/1000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$



