

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik INTERNATIONAL MAX PLANES RESEARCH SCHOOL



Aspects of Classical Scale Invariance and Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

Kher Sham Lim Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik

Strong Interactions in the LHC Era

Bad Honnef 14.11.2014



Based on hep-ph/1310.4423, JHEP 1312 (2013) 076 by M. Holthausen, J. Kubo, KSL and M. Lindner Hep-ph/1403.4262, PRL 113 (2014) 091604 by J.Kubo, KSL and M.Lindner Hep-ph/1405.1052, JHEP 1409 (2014) 016 by J.Kubo, KSL, M.Lindner

This talk is not about Technicolor or Composite Higgs!

We know Higgs boson exist!





 $\Lambda_{\rm QCD} \sim v \ll M_{\rm pl}$



$$\Lambda_{\rm QCD} \sim v \ll M_{\rm pl}$$

QCD scale is pure quantum phenomena

$$\Lambda_{\rm QCD} = M_{\rm pl} e^{-8\pi^2/bg_s^2(M_{\rm pl})}$$





THE HIERARCHY PROBLEM



THE HIERARCHY PROBLEM



THE HIERARCHY PROBLEM

But where are the new physics?

Solutions getting pushed into special corner!

$$m^{2}(v) = m^{2}(M_{\rm pl}) + f(\lambda, g_{i}...)M_{\rm heavy}^{2}\log(\frac{M_{\rm pl}}{v})$$

Ad hoc cancellation?

What is the boundary condition?

Long-held belief on naturalness must be critically reexamined!

GENERATING ELECTROWEAK SCALE

$$\begin{split} m^2(v) &= m^2(M_{\rm pl}) + f(\lambda, g_i...)M_{\rm heavy}^2 \log(\frac{M_{\rm pl}}{v}) \\ & & \\ \\ \text{Small in the SM as} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{d}m^2}{\mathrm{d}\log(\mu)} \sim m^2 \end{split}$$

Kher Sham Lim, Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik

GENERATING ELECTROWEAK SCALE

$$m^{2}(v) = m^{2}(M_{\rm pl}) + f(\lambda, g_{i}...)M_{\rm heavy}^{2}\log(\frac{M_{\rm pl}}{v})$$

Small in the SM as $\frac{\mathrm{d}m^2}{\mathrm{d}\log(\mu)} \sim m^2$

 $\frac{m}{M_{\rm pl}} \ll 1$

Classical Scale Invariance!

Might create a large hierarchy if new physics is still in the framework of QFT with large scale seperation. But Wilsonian picture might not apply to Planck scale physics!

GENERATING ELECTROWEAK SCALE DYNAMICALLY

$$m^{2}(v) = m^{2}(M_{\rm pl}) + f(\lambda, g_{i}...)M_{\rm heavy}^{2}\log(\frac{M_{\rm pl}}{v})$$

Impose Classical scale Invariance

Want to generate EW scale dynamically

$$m^2(v) \sim M_{\text{heavy}}^2 \sim M_{\text{pl}}^2 e^{-8\pi^2/bg^2(M_{\text{pl}})}$$

Order TeV to avoid Hierarchy problem

GENERATING ELECTROWEAK SCALE DYNAMICALLY

$$m^{2}(v) = m^{2}(M_{\rm pl}) + f(\lambda, g_{i}...)M_{\rm heavy}^{2}\log(\frac{M_{\rm pl}}{v})$$

Impose Classical scale Invariance

Want to generate EW scale dynamically

$$m^2(v) \sim M_{\text{heavy}}^2 \sim M_{\text{pl}}^2 e^{-8\pi^2/bg^2(M_{\text{pl}})}$$

Order TeV to avoid Hierarchy problem

Minor detail: Imposing classical scale invariance also forbids the use of cutoff. Otherwise explicit violation of scale invariance.

Kher Sham Lim, Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik

Scale Current is Anomalous

$$\Delta \phi(x) = (x_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} + d) \phi(x) \longrightarrow \partial_{\mu} J^{\mu} = T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} \sim m^2 \phi^2$$

Kher Sham Lim, Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik

Scale Current is Anomalous

$$\Delta\phi(x) = (x_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} + d)\phi(x) \longrightarrow \partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} \sim m^{2}\phi^{2}$$

But dilatation current is anomalous

$$\begin{array}{l} \partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}=T^{\mu}_{\ \mu}\sim\beta\mathcal{O}_{4}\\ & & & \\ \\ \text{Quantum origin} & & \beta\neq 0\rightarrow T^{\mu}_{\ \mu}\neq 0\rightarrow m\neq 0 \end{array}$$

EW scale from CSI breaking



EW scale from CSI breaking

Very active field of research!

Bardeen '95 Fatelo, Gerard, Hambye, Weyers '95 Hempfling '96 Hambye '96 Meissner, Nicolai '07 Foot, Kobakhidze, Volkas '07 Foot, Kobakhidze, McDonald, Volkas '07 Chang, Ng, Wu '07 Hambye, Tytgat '08 Iso, Okada, Orikasa '09 Holthausen, Lindner, Schmidt '10 Hur, Jung, Ko, Lee '11 Hur. Ko '11 Ishiwata '12 Lee, Pilaftsis '12 Khoze '13 Kawamura '13 Gretsch, Monin '13 Carone, Ramos '13 Khoze, Ro '13 Englert, Jaekel, Khoze, Spannowsky '13 Farzinnia, He, Ren '13 Abel, Mariotti '13

Heikinheimo, Racioppi, Raidal, Spethmann, Tuominen '13 Steele, Wang, Contreras, Mann '13 Hambye, Strumia '13 Holthausen, Kubo, Lim, Lindner '13 Hashimoto, Iso, Orikasa '14 Hill '14 Guo, Kang '14 Radovcic, Benic '14 Khoze, McCabe, Ro '14 Kubo, Lim, Lindner '14 Allison, Hill, Ross '14 Farzinnia, Ren '14 Davoudiasl, Lewis '14 Altmannhofer, Bardeen, Bauer, Carena, Lykken '14 Antipin, Redi, Strumia '14

I am sorry if I left out your paper



Buttazzo et al.

With the current measured HIggs mass, the value indicates a flat potential

$$V = -m^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda_h (H^{\dagger} H)^2$$

Classical Scale Invariance

$$V = -m^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda_h (H^{\dagger} H)^2$$



Need a flat potential $V = V_{\rm tree} + V_{\rm 1-loop} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad m^2 \sim \beta \langle \phi \rangle^2 \\ \sim \beta \phi^4 \log(v/M)$

In the SM it doesn't work due to large top loop => negative Higgs mass

Need additional bosons

For references see pervious slide



Generic model building approach: Portalia!

$$F'_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \qquad y\bar{L}HN \qquad \qquad \lambda_{hs}H^{\dagger}HS^{\dagger}S$$

Only 3 terms in the SM which are singlets. Portals to dark side?

Generic model building approach:



 $\lambda_{hs}H^{\dagger}HS^2 + \lambda_s S^4$

Couplings assumed

 $\lambda_{hs}H^{\dagger}HS^{2} + \lambda_{s}S^{4}$

radiatively generated

True Flatlandia, every scalar couplings generated radiatively

See Iso et al.





EW scale from CSI breaking



- Strong hierarchy between EW and Planck scale.
- QCD scale can be explained by running couplings and dimensional transmutation.
- Would be nice if EW sector can mimic such mechanism.



Handwaving classification

	New gauge group?	Just SM gauge group
New particle?	Technicolor Hidden QCD	Exotic QCD representation
SM particle	Top condensate Top color	Just SM :(

- Strong hierarchy between EW and Planck scale.
- QCD scale can be explained by running couplings and dimensional transmutation.
- Would be nice if EW sector can mimic such mechanism.



Direct Transmission = Scalar Bound State

For instance: **QCD**





Kubo, Lim, Lindner

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM},m^2 \to 0} + (D_{\mu,ij}S_j)^{\dagger} (D_{ik}^{\mu}S_k) + \lambda_{HS} H^{\dagger} H S^{\dagger} S - \lambda_{\mathbf{1}_i} \left[\bar{\boldsymbol{S}} \times \boldsymbol{S} \times \bar{\boldsymbol{S}} \times \boldsymbol{S} \right]_{\mathbf{1}_i}$$



$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM,m^2 \to 0} + (D_{\mu,ij}S_j)^{\dagger}(D_{ik}^{\mu}S_k) + \lambda_{HS}H^{\dagger}HS^{\dagger}S - \lambda_{1_i} \left[\bar{S} \times S \times \bar{S} \times S\right]_{1_i}$$
Suppression due to minus sign
$$\int_{0}^{40} \int_{0}^{40} \int_{0}^{5} \int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{3}$$

Challenges





Measurement of strong coupling at high energy

- Strong hierarchy between EW and Planck scale.
- QCD scale can be explained by running couplings and dimensional transmutation.
- Would be nice if EW sector can mimic such mechanism.



Indirect Transmission = CSI + Strong hidden sector



Concrete Model



Concrete Model



- Advantage of having 3 dark color and 3 flavors = Can use QCD data to scale up spectrum
- Nambu-Jona-Lasinio approach allows us to determine a lot of paramaters dynamically.
- Less free parameters if we mimic QCD, but in general can be of any gauge group and flavor.

Constraining the Parameter Space



Kher Sham Lim, Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik

Effective Potential

$$V_{\text{eff}} = V_{\text{SM}+S} + V_{\text{NJL}}$$

$$V_{\text{NJL}}(\sigma, S) = \frac{3}{8G}\sigma^2 - \frac{G_D}{16G^3}\sigma^3 - 3n_c I_0(M, 0)$$
Constituent mass for
hidden fermions $M = \sigma + yS - \frac{G_D}{8G^2}\sigma^2$

$$M = \sigma + yS - \frac{G_D}{8G^2}\sigma^2$$
Step 1
$$G, G_D, \Lambda$$
from QCD
is used to
calibrate
the potential
$$M = \sigma + yS - \frac{G_D}{8G^2}\sigma^2$$

$$M$$

After all the tedious algebras...

$$\begin{split} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle & \stackrel{\text{CP even scalar,}}{\underset{\text{mixes with }h \text{ and }S}{} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{NJL}} \supset i \operatorname{Tr}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi - \left(\overset{\text{CP even scalar,}}{\sigma + yS - \frac{G_{D}}{8G^{2}}\sigma^{2}}\right)\operatorname{Tr}\bar{\psi}\psi - i\operatorname{Tr}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{5}\phi\psi - \frac{1}{8G}\left(3\sigma^{2} + 2\sum_{a=1}^{8}\phi_{a}\phi_{a}\right) \\ & + \frac{G_{D}}{8G^{2}}\left(-\operatorname{Tr}\bar{\psi}\phi^{2}\psi + \sum_{a=1}^{8}\phi_{a}\phi_{a}\operatorname{Tr}\bar{\psi}\psi + i\sigma\operatorname{Tr}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{5}\phi\psi + \frac{\sigma^{3}}{2G} + \frac{\sigma}{2G}\sum_{a=1}^{8}(\phi_{a})^{2}\right) \\ & \sim \left\langle \bar{\psi}\gamma_{5}\psi \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Dark pions

After all the tedious algebras...



After all the tedious algebras...



Direct Detection Prospect



Naively

The model predicts no signal in LUX and XENON1T. But the small range of parameter space can be confirmed or excluded by next generation DM direct detection.

Direct Detection Prospect



Direct Detection Prospect



Limited Parameter Space can be probed by XENON1T!

CSI and Accidental U(1)

Still has U(1) symmetry in $\psi\psi$



Highly non-trivial to use NJL approach while maintaining gauge invariance. Needs "least subtraction method" (More fun stuffs in our paper).

What about gravity?

 $\mathcal{L} \sim R^2 + R^{\mu
u}R_{\mu
u} + \xi S^2 R$ Conformal gravity

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \xi S^2 R \to \xi \langle S \rangle^2 R = M_{pl} R$$

But this is order TeV, fine-tuning

 $R^2 + R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$ contains nasty ghost!

Summary

- With no sign of new physics from LHC, long-held belief on naturalness should be scrutnized.
- Classical scale invariance might act as protective symmetry as alternative solution to hierarchy problem, and generates EW scale radiatively.
- We propose 2 models that generate EW scale dynamically.
- A strongly coupled hidden sector model based on NJL where the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking induces EWSB via singlet mediator.
- The strongly coupled hidden sector is a scaled up QCD → Less free parameter.
- The model provides natural DM candidates, i.e. the dark pions, which are stable under flavor symmetry.

- A QCD extension with scalar of larger irreducible representation that condenses at TeV scale, generating EW scale via dimensional transmutation.
- The mass of the extra scalar is very restrictive, and can be confirmed or ruled out by LHC.
- Higgs production rate in gluon fusion is suppressed. Accidental U(1) symmetry may enhance $H\to\gamma\gamma$

A new way of model building?

Thank you :-)

Kher Sham Lim, Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik