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## 1. Ricci Theorem

The affine connections (Christoffel symbols) are given as

$$
\Gamma_{\nu \mu}^{\lambda}=\Gamma_{\nu \mu}^{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda \kappa}\left(g_{\nu \kappa \mid \mu}+g_{\kappa \mu \mid \nu}-g_{\mu \nu \mid \kappa}\right) .
$$

(a) Show through direct calculation that

$$
g_{\mu \nu \| \kappa}=0, \quad g^{\mu \nu}{ }_{\| \kappa}=0, \quad g_{\nu \| \kappa}^{\mu}=0 .
$$

Solution: By definition of the covariant derivative we have

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\mu \nu \| \kappa} & =\partial_{\kappa} g_{\mu \nu}-\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \kappa} g_{\lambda \nu}-\Gamma_{\nu \kappa}^{\lambda} g_{\mu \lambda} \\
& =\partial_{\kappa} g_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \kappa}+\partial_{\kappa} g_{\mu \nu}-\partial_{\nu} g_{\mu \kappa}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\nu} g_{\mu \kappa}+\partial_{\kappa} g_{\mu \nu}-\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \kappa}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& =0,
\end{align*}
$$

because the equally colored terms cancel each other. In the 2nd step we have used

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \kappa} g_{\lambda \nu}=g_{\nu \kappa \mid \mu}+g_{\mu \nu \mid \kappa}-g_{\mu \kappa \mid \nu} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the contravariant components we have

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\| \kappa}^{\mu \nu} & =\nabla_{\kappa} g^{\mu \nu} \\
& =\partial_{\kappa} g^{\mu \nu}+\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\kappa \lambda} g^{\lambda \nu}+\Gamma^{\nu}{ }_{\kappa \lambda} g^{\mu \lambda} \\
& =\partial_{\kappa} g^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \alpha} g^{\nu \lambda}\left(\partial_{\kappa} g_{\alpha \lambda}+\partial_{\lambda} g_{\alpha \kappa}-\partial_{\alpha} g_{\kappa \lambda}\right)+\frac{1}{2} g^{\nu \alpha} g^{\mu \lambda}\left(\partial_{\kappa} g_{\alpha \lambda}+\partial_{\lambda} g_{\alpha \kappa}-\partial_{\alpha} g_{\kappa \lambda}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& =\partial_{\kappa} g^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\mu \alpha} g^{\nu \lambda}+g^{\nu \alpha} g^{\mu \lambda}\right)\left(\partial_{\kappa} g_{\alpha \lambda}+\partial_{\lambda} g_{\alpha \kappa}-\partial_{\alpha} g_{\lambda \kappa}\right) \\
& =\partial_{\kappa} g^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\mu \alpha} g^{\nu \lambda}+g^{\nu \alpha} g^{\mu \lambda}\right) \partial_{\kappa} g_{\alpha \lambda} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the last step we have used that the red part is antisymmetric under exchange of the index pair ( $\lambda, \alpha$ ) and that it is contracted with the left bracket, which is symmetric in this index pair. To further simplify the remaining expression, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\mu \alpha} g_{\alpha \lambda}=\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}=\text { const. } \Rightarrow g^{\mu \alpha} \partial_{\kappa} g_{\alpha \lambda}+g_{\alpha \lambda} \partial_{\kappa} g^{\mu \alpha}=0 \Rightarrow g^{\mu \alpha} \partial_{\kappa} g_{\alpha \lambda}=-g_{\alpha \lambda} \partial_{\kappa} g^{\mu \alpha} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this identity in (3) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{\mu \nu} & { }_{\| \kappa} \tag{5}
\end{align*}=\partial_{\kappa} g^{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\mu \alpha} g_{\alpha \lambda} \partial_{\kappa} g^{\nu \lambda}+g^{\nu \alpha} g_{\alpha \lambda} \partial_{\kappa} g^{\mu \lambda}\right) .
$$

To prove also the last formula, we use the fact that $g^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}=\delta_{\nu}^{\mu}=$ const and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \| \kappa}=\nabla_{\kappa} \delta_{\nu}^{\mu}=\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\kappa \lambda} \delta_{\nu}^{\lambda}-\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\kappa \nu} \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}=\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\kappa \nu}-\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\kappa \nu}=0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Show the validity of product rule for the covariant derivative on the example $T^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}=A^{\mu} B_{\nu}$, i.e.,

$$
T_{\nu \| \rho}^{\mu}=A_{\| \rho}^{\mu} B_{\nu}+A^{\mu} B_{\nu \| \rho}
$$

Solution: Using the definition of the covariant derivative gives

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\nu \| \rho}^{\mu} & =\partial_{\rho} T_{\nu}^{\mu}+\Gamma_{\rho \sigma}^{\mu} T_{\nu}^{\sigma}-\Gamma_{\rho \nu}^{\sigma} T_{\sigma}^{\mu} \\
& =\partial_{\rho}\left(A^{\mu} B_{\nu}\right)+\Gamma_{\rho \sigma}^{\mu} A^{\sigma} B_{\nu}-\Gamma_{\rho \nu}^{\sigma} A^{\mu} B_{\sigma} \\
& =B_{\nu} \partial_{\rho} A^{\mu}+A^{\mu} \partial_{\rho} B_{\nu}+\Gamma_{\rho \sigma}^{\mu} A^{\sigma} B_{\nu}-\Gamma_{\rho \nu}^{\sigma} A^{\mu} B_{\sigma}  \tag{7}\\
& =\left(\partial_{\rho} A^{\mu}+\Gamma_{\rho \sigma}^{\mu} A^{\sigma}\right) B_{\nu}+A^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\rho} B_{\nu}-\Gamma_{\rho \nu}^{\sigma} A^{\mu} B_{\sigma}\right) \\
& =A_{\| \rho}^{\mu} B_{\nu}+A^{\mu} B_{\nu \| \rho},
\end{align*}
$$

and this what we wanted to show.
(c) Why can one "naively" lower and raise indices in covariant derivatives, i.e., why is for, e.g., a tensor $T_{\mu \nu}$

$$
T_{\nu \| \rho}^{\mu}=g^{\mu \sigma} T_{\sigma \nu \| \rho}
$$

Solution: Using the result of part (a) and the validity of the product rule for covariant derivatives, proven in part (b), we immediately get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\nu \| \rho}^{\mu}=\left(g^{\mu \sigma} T_{\sigma \nu}\right)_{\| \rho} \stackrel{(\mathrm{b})}{=} g_{\| \rho}^{\mu \sigma} T_{\sigma \nu}+g^{\mu \sigma} T_{\sigma \nu \| \rho} \stackrel{(\mathrm{a})}{=}+g^{\mu \sigma} T_{\sigma \nu \| \rho} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) Show that for the "covariant curl" for any vector field $A_{\mu}$ one can use the partial derivatives instead of the covariant ones:

$$
F_{\mu \nu}=A_{\nu \| \mu}-A_{\mu \| \nu}=A_{\nu \mid \mu}-A_{\mu \mid \nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}
$$

Solution: Using the covariant derivative,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\nu \| \mu}=\nabla_{\mu} A_{\nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\rho} A_{\rho} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mu \nu}=\nabla_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\nabla_{\nu} A_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of $\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu}=\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\nu \mu}$, i.e., because the pseudo-Riemannian spacetime manifold of general relativity is torsion free.

## 2. Ideal fluid

The non-relativistic hydrodynamical equations describing mass-, and- momentum energy conservation, for an ideal fluid are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\vec{\nabla} \cdot(\rho \vec{v}) & =0  \tag{11}\\
\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t}+(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v}+\frac{\vec{\nabla} P}{\rho} & =0  \tag{12}\\
\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t}+\vec{\nabla} \cdot(\epsilon \vec{v})+P \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} & =0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

(a) Express the energy density $\epsilon$ and the Pressure $P$ of the ideal fluid as a function of the mass density density $\rho$ and temperature $T$.
Solution: We use the equations of state of an ideal gas,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P V=k_{\mathrm{B}} N T, \quad U=\frac{f}{2} N k_{\mathrm{B}} T \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f=3$ for a gas of monatomic, $f=5$ of diatomic molecules, and $f=6$ for a gas with molecules consisting of at least three atoms. We assume that we are at temperatures such that vibrational degrees of freedom are not active. Dividing the equations by $V$ and using $\rho=m N / V$, where $m$ is the mass of one gas molecule we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{k_{\mathrm{B}} \rho T}{m}, \quad \epsilon=\frac{U}{V}=\frac{f}{2} \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}} \rho T}{m} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Show via Eq. (13) that an isothermal ideal fluid, i.e., a fluid for which $T(t, \vec{x})=T_{0}$, is also incompressible, meaning $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}=0$.
Solution: Since $T=$ const, plugging in (15) in (13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f k_{\mathrm{B}} T}{2 m}\left[\partial_{t} \rho+\vec{\nabla}(\rho \vec{v})\right]+P \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (11) the square bracket vanishes, and since $P>0$ this shows that indeed $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}=0$.
Note: The condition $\operatorname{div} \vec{v}=\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}=0$ means the incompressibility of the fluid. An incompressible fluid is defined by the condition that the density $\rho=$ const, i.e., independent of time and position. This implies $\partial_{t} \rho=0$ and $\vec{\nabla} \rho=0$. From the continuity eqution (11) this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\partial_{t} \rho+\vec{\nabla}(\rho \vec{v})=\vec{\nabla}(\rho \vec{v})=\partial_{j}\left(\rho v_{j}\right)=v_{j} \partial_{j} \rho+\rho \partial_{j} v_{j}=\rho \partial_{j} v_{j}=\rho \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} \Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

